

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.nspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.        | F                      | ILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------|------------------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/700,037             |                        | 07/02/2001    | Roger Timmis         | WEYE116514              | 1285             |
| 26389                  | 7590                   | 07/29/2003    |                      |                         |                  |
| CHRISTEN<br>1420 FIFTH |                        | 'CONNOR, JOHN | EXAMINER             |                         |                  |
| SUITE 2800             |                        | E.            | LANKFORD JR, LEON B  |                         |                  |
| SEATTLE, V             | SEATTLE, WA 98101-2347 |               |                      | ART UNIT                |                  |
|                        |                        |               |                      | ARTONII                 | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                        |                        |               |                      | 1651                    | 14               |
|                        |                        |               |                      | DATE MAILED: 07/29/2003 | 15               |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |  | Application No.          | Applicant(s)                                     |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
|   |  | 09/700,037               | TIMMIS ET AL.                                    |  |  |  |  |
|   | Office Action Summary  | Examiner                 | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
|   |  | L Blaine Lankford        | 1651   |  |  |  |  |
| Period fo   | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply                   |                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status |  |                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1)🖂   | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 M   | lav 2003                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a)⊠  | <u> </u>   | s action is non-final.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3)  | Since this application is in condition for allowar   |                          | osecution as to the merits is                    |  |  |  |  |
| Disnositi   | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims             |                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| · _   | Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application.   |                          | •  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |                          |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| ·   | ☑ Claim(s) <u>27-40</u> is/are rejected.   |                          |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |                          |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or   | election requirement.    |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |  |                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |  |                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.   |  |                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |  |                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.   |  |                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  |  |                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  |  |                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |  |                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |  |                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of:  |  |                          |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents  |                          |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents  |                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  |  |                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  |  |                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.   |  |                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(   | s)   |                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) 🔲 Notice   | of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of Informal Pa | PTO-413) Paper No(s) stent Application (PTO-152) |  |  |  |  |
| . Patent and Tra  | demark Office  |                          |  |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/700,037

Art Unit: 1651

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicant's arguments filed 5-9-03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The claims remain rejected for the reasons of record.

Applicant theorizes that the spectral analysis of embryo can be standardized such that a known desirable standard can be used to determine the quality of embryos by analyzing the raw spectral data produced thereby, however this has not been demonstrated. The specification explains how to obtain raw data however the specification does not describe the claimed invention. Applicant has not described the invention in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. There is no description of how the data can be effectively used. The invention has not been adequately described.

Further one cannot practice applicant's claimed invention by reading the claims even when one considers the support provided by the specification.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/700,037

Art Unit: 1651

Claims 27-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Applicant claims a method for developing a model for the classification of somatic embryos yet there is no clear correlation drawn between the data collected and compared and the "quality" of an embryo. Applicant has not clearly established what the correlation is and thus it is unclear that applicant actually had within their possession a method for actually classifying plant embryo quality.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 27-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Applicant claims a method for developing a model for the classification of somatic embryos yet there is no clear correlation drawn between the data collected and compared and the "quality" of an embryo. Applicant has not clearly established what the correlation is and thus the invention is undefined.

Application/Control Number: 09/700,037

Art Unit: 1651

Please note that the language of a claim must make it clear what subject matter the claim encompasses to adequately delineate its "metes and bounds". See, e.g., the following decisions: In re Hammack, 427 F 2d. 1378, 1382, 166 USPQ 204, 208 (CCPA 1970); In re Venezia 530 F 2d. 956, 958, 189 USPQ 149, 151 (CCPA 1976); In re Goffe, 526 F 2d. 1393, 1397, 188 USPQ 131, 135 (CCPA 1975); In re Watson, 517 F 2d. 465, 477, 186 USPQ 11, 20 (CCPA 1975); In re Knowlton 481 F 2d. 1357, 1366, 178 USPQ 486, 492 (CCPA 1973). The courts have also indicated that before claimed subject matter can properly be compared to the prior art, it is essential to know what the claims do in fact cover. See, e.g., the following decisions: In re Steele, 305 F 2d. 859, 134 USPQ 292 (CCPA 1962); In re Moore 439 F 2d. 1232, 169 USPQ 236 (CCPA 1969); In re Merat, 519 F 2d. 1390, 186 USPQ 471 (CCPA 1975).

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date

of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to L Blaine Lankford whose telephone number is 308-2455. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu 7:30-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Wityshyn can be reached on 308-4743. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4242 for regular communications and 703-308-4242 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-0196.

L Blaine Lankford Primary Examiner

Apt Unit /1621

LBL July 28, 2003